

# EHFCN WEBINAR



## The effect of privacy legislation on making court rulings public: a different interpretation between Belgium and the Netherlands

### Short description

Each country has a rather broad interpretation of the European privacy legislation. For example, some practices are prohibited in one country, while in another they are permitted.

In the Netherlands, as soon as a case of fraud by a service provider is proven, the public is entitled to be informed. This is not the case in Belgium. Why is that?

### Defined goals

- Explaining the different effects of international legislation in the national legal system of Belgium and the Netherlands with regard to the accessibility of court rulings
- Explaining the national legislation and different interpretations in the Netherlands and in Belgium with regard to the accessibility of court rulings
- Critical considerations
- Evaluation

### Contact details for more information

This webinar is an initiative of 1 EHFCN-member, NIHDI, with the collaboration of IKZ.

- NIHDI-MEID (National Institute for Health and Disability Insurance - Medical Evaluation and Inspection Department) | Belgium | Email: [secr.dgec.secm@riziv-inami.fgov.be](mailto:secr.dgec.secm@riziv-inami.fgov.be)
- Informatie Knooppunt Zorgfraude (IKZ) | The Netherlands | Email: [secretariaat@ikz.nl](mailto:secretariaat@ikz.nl)

### Contact persons

- Jules Poppe: [jules.poppe@riziv-inami.fgov.be](mailto:jules.poppe@riziv-inami.fgov.be)
- Mia Lebon: [mlebon@ikz.nl](mailto:mlebon@ikz.nl)



# EHFCN WEBINAR



## The effect of privacy legislation on making court rulings public: a different interpretation between Belgium and the Netherlands

### Speakers

- Mia Lebon: Jurist at IKZ since December 2022. She previously worked in the private health sector.
- Jules Poppe: Jurist since the first of January 2022 at NIHDI. He previously worked in a notary and in a bank.

